

Weed (Scientific name)	Hedera helix - Araliaceae		
Region			
Management Area			
Landuse			
Assumptions			
<i>Invasiveness</i>	Score	Total	
Q1. What is the ability of the weed to establish amongst existing plants?		3.0	Seedlings establish within dense vegetation or weeds Q1
Q2. What is the weed's tolerance to average weed management practices in the land use?			Q2
Q3. What is the reproductive ability of the weed in the land use?		2.0	Q3
(a) Time to seeding	0.0		>3 yrs/never
(b) Annual seed production	1.0		Low
(c) Vegetative reproduction	2.0		Frequent
Q4. How likely is long-distance dispersal (>100m) by natural means?		3.0	Q4
(a) Flying animals	2.0		Common
(b) Other wild animals	2.0		Common
(c) Water	2.0		Common
(d) Wind	0.0		Unlikely
Q5. How likely is long-distance dispersal (>100 m) by human means?		2.0	Q5
(a) Deliberate spread by people	2.0		Common
(b) Accidentally by people and vehicles	2.0		Common
(c) Contaminated produce	0.0		Unlikely
(d) Domestic/farm animals	1.0		Occasional
Total			

Impacts	Score	Total	
Q1. Does the weed reduce the establishment of desired plants?		3.0	> 50% reduction Q1
Q2. Does the weed reduce the yield or amount of desired vegetation?		4.0	> 50% reduction Q2
Q3. Does the weed reduce the quality of products, diversity or services available from the land use?		1.0	Low Q3
Q4. What is the weed's potential to restrict the physical movement of people, animals, vehicles, machinery and/or water?		1.0	Low Q4
Q5. What is the weed's potential to negatively affect the health of animals and/or people?		2.0	Medium Q5
Q6. Does the weed have major positive or negative effects on environmental health?		1.0	Q6
(a) food/shelter	0.0		Minor or no effect
(b) fire regime	0.0		Minor or no effect
(c) altered nutrient levels	0.0		Minor or no effect
(d) soil salinity	?		Do not know
(e) soil stability	0.0		Minor or no effect
(f) soil water table	0.0		Minor or no effect
Total		6.3	
Potential Distribution			
Q1. Within the geographic area being considered, what is the percentage area of land use that is suitable for the weed?			Q1
Comparative weed risk score			
Weed risk category			

<p>Control Costs</p> <p>Q1. How detectable is the weed? (a) Distinguishing features (b) Period of year shoot growth visible (c) Height at maturity (d) Pre-reproductive height in relation to other vegetation</p> <p>Q2. What is the general accessibility of known infestations at the optimum time of treatment?</p> <p>Q3. How expensive is management of the weed in the first year of targeted control? (a) Chemical costs/ha (b) Labour costs/ha (c) Equipment costs</p> <p>Q4. What is the likely level of participation from landholders/volunteers within the land use at risk?</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Total</p>	<p>Score</p> <p>0 0 0 2</p>	<p>Total</p> <p>1</p> <p>always distinct > 8 months > 2 m below canopy</p>	<p>Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4</p>
<p>Persistence</p> <p>Q1. How effective are targeted management treatments applied to infestations of the weed?</p> <p>Q2. What is the minimum time period for reproduction of sexual or vegetative propagules?</p> <p>Q3. What is the maximum longevity of sexual or vegetative propagules?</p> <p>Q4. How likely are new propagules to continue to arrive at control sites, or to start new infestations? (a) Long-distance (>100m) dispersal by natural means (b) Long-distance (>100m) dispersal by human means</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Total</p>	<p>Score</p> <p>2 1</p>	<p>Total</p> <p>3 < 6 months 2 > 5 years 2.0 frequent occasional</p>	<p>Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4</p>
<p>Current distribution</p> <p>Q1. What percentage area of the land use in the geographical area is currently infested by the weed?</p> <p>Q2. What is the number of infestations, and weed distribution within the geographic area being considered?</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Total</p>			<p>Q1 Q2</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Comparative feasibility of coordinated control score Feasibility of coordinated control category</p>			

<p style="text-align: center;">Management priority category</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Calculation of overall uncertainty score</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Response</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;">Positive Impacts</p>	
<p>References/Other comments</p>	

Source and comments

http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy
http://www.botany.hawaii.edu/faculty/daehler/WRA/full_table.asp

http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy

<http://www.nps.gov/plants/ALIEN/fact/hehe1.htm>
http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy

http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy

<http://www.nps.gov/plants/ALIEN/fact/hehe1.htm>

<http://www.nps.gov/plants/ALIEN/fact/hehe1.htm>

http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/impact_english_ivy

The leaves and berries can cause toxicosis if ingested. Symptoms include gastrointestinal upset, diarrhea, hyperactivity, breathing difficulty, coma, fever, polydipsia, dilated pupils, muscular weakness, and lack of coordination.

Low tolerance of fire when it does burn.
http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy Potential to moderately change both frequency and intensity of fire risk.
http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/impact_english_ivy

http://www.nps.gov/plants/ALIEN/fact/hehe1.htm

http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy
http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy
http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/invasive_english_ivy
