

## Greater Sydney RWC Meeting 13: BUSINESS PAPER

<b>Agenda Item 5</b>	<b>Feedback from DPI</b>
<b>ATTACHMENTS</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DPI Feedback RSWMP Amend Process</li> <li>• Process for amending the GS RSWMP_Oct 2018</li> </ul>
<b>BACKGROUND</b>	<p><b>GBD Decision Support Tool</b></p> <p>The draft GBD Decision Support tool, which incorporated initial feedback from DPI, was presented to the GS RWC at meeting 10. Following feedback from GS RWC members and field testing by Northern Beaches Council and HRCC, the GS RWC endorsed the tool subject to inclusion of a “Local Priority Weed” section. (Refer meeting 12, motion 3).</p> <p>A further amended version was emailed to GS RWC members on 13 June 2018. This version was also forwarded to DPI for comment. DPI has expressed a desire to have the tool available for use by all LLS regions, and has sought comments from their legal branch.</p> <p><b>WAP Mandatory Documents</b></p> <p>At meeting 12, the GS RWC approved the content of four mandatory regional strategic planning documents and endorsed them for use in the GS region (motion 4). The documents were submitted to NSW DPI on 2 July to satisfy requirements of the Greater Sydney WAP Project. Verbal feedback was provided by Peter Turner and Rod Ensbey at a meeting with Nicola Dixon (Regional Weed Coordinator) on 25 July.</p> <p>The feedback is yet to be incorporated into the documents. It appears the most significant change will be the inclusion of stakeholder mapping in the Communications Strategy (ie identifying stakeholders and their preferred method of communication).</p> <p><b>Process to amend the GS RSWMP</b></p> <p>A proposed process for amending the RSWMP was endorsed at GS RWC meeting 12, after which it was referred to DPI for comment. Below is a summary of the feedback received from Pete Turner (DPI).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amendments should be kept to a minimum, actioned as a bulk amendment and subject to an appropriate public consultation process.</li> <li>2. Any new weed species added following a WRA must include “outcomes to demonstrate compliance with the GBD”.</li> <li>3. In step 3, the NSW DPI Invasive Species Office (ISO) should replace the Technical Subcommittee of the State Weeds Committee (ie for quality assurance review).</li> </ol> <p>The process for amending the RSWMP has been amended accordingly, and is attached for endorsement by the GS RWC.</p>
<b>FOR ACTION/DECISION/NOTING</b>	<b>Noting and Decision</b>
<b>DECISIONS REQUIRED BY RWC MEMBERS</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Note this business paper</b></li> <li>2. <b>Endorsement of amendments to proposed process for amending the RSWMP</b></li> </ol>