Weed (Scientific name)	Golden dodder [Cuscuta campestris]			
Region	Central West, Hawkesbury-Nepean, Lachlan			
Management Area	Upper Macquarie County Council 3.2 Grazing modified			
Landuse				
Assumptions	Management Instru	instructions in <i>Weed Risk</i> ction booklet (Johnson, S, dustry & Investment NSW).		
Invasiveness	Score Total			
Q1. What is the ability of the weed to establish amongst existing plants?	3.0	Seedlings establish within dense vegetation or weeds	Q [,]	
Q2. What is the weed's tolerance to average weed management practices in the land use?	1.0	Between 5 and 50% of weeds survive	Q:	
Q3. What is the reproductive ability of the weed in the land use?	3.0		Q	
(a) Time to seeding	2.0	1 year or less		
(b) Annual seed production	2.0	High		
(c) Vegetative reproduction	2.0	Frequent		
Q4. How likely is long-distance dispersal (>100m) by natural means?	2.0		Q	
(a) Flying animals	1.0	Occasional		
(b) Other wild animals	2.0	Common		
(c) Water	2.0	Common		
(d) Wind	0.0	Unlikely		
Q5. How likely is long-distance dispersal (>100 m) by human means?	3.0		Q	
(a) Deliberate spread by people	0.0	Unlikely		
(b) Accidentally by people and vehicles	2.0	Common		
(c) Contaminated produce	2.0	Common		
(d) Domestic/farm animals	2.0	Common		
Tota	I 8.0			

Impacts	Score	Total		
Q1. Does the weed reduce the establishment of desired plants?		1.0	< 10% reduction	Q1
Q2. Does the weed reduce the yield or amount of desired vegetation?		2.0	10 - 25% reduction	Q2
Q3. Does the weed reduce the quality of products, diversity or services available from the land use?		2.0	Medium	Q3
Q4. What is the weed's potential to restrict the physical movement of people, animals, vehicles, machinery and/or water?		0.0	None	Q4
Q5. What is the weed's potential to negatively affect the health of animals and/or people?		3.0	High	Q5
Q6. Does the weed have major positive or negative effects on environmental health?		0.0		Q6
(a) food/shelter	0.0		Minor or no effect	
(b) fire regime	0.0		Minor or no effect	
(c) altered nutrient levels	0.0		Minor or no effect	
(d) soil salinity	0.0		Minor or no effect	
(e) soil stability	0.0		Minor or no effect	
(f) soil water table	0.0		Minor or no effect	
Total		4.2		_
Potential Distribution				
Q1. Within the geographic area being considered, what is the percentage area of land use that is suitable for the weed?		0.5	<5% of land use	Q1
Comparative weed risk score		17		7
Weed risk category		Low		

Control Costs	Score	Total		
Q1. How detectable is the weed?		2		Q1
(a) Distinguishing features	1		sometimes distinct	
(b) Period of year shoot growth visible	1		4-8 months	
(c) Height at maturity	2		<0.5 m	
(d) Pre-reproductive height in relation to other vegetation	1		similar height	
Q2. What is the general accessibility of known infestations at the optimum time of treatment?		1	medium	Q2
Q3. How expensive is management of the weed in the first year of targeted control?		2		Q3
(a) Chemical costs/ha	1	_	low (< \$100/ha)	
(b) Labour costs/ha	1		low (< \$100/ha)	
(c) Equipment costs	1		low	
Q4. What is the likely level of participation from landholders/volunteers within the land				
use at risk?		1.0	medium	Q4
Tota	ıl	5.0		
Persistence	Score	Total		
Q1. How effective are targeted management treatments applied to infestations of the weed?		2	medium	Q1
Q2. What is the minimum time period for reproduction of sexual or vegetative propagules?	е	3	< 6 months	Q2
Q3. What is the maximum longevity of sexual or vegetative propagules?		2	> 5 years	Q3
Q4. How likely are new propagules to continue to arrive at control sites, or to start ne infestations?	W	0.0		Q4
(a) Long-distance (>100m) dispersal by natural means	0		rare	
(b) Long-distance (>100m) dispersal by human means	0		rare	
Tota	ıl	6.4		
Current distribution				
Q1. What percentage area of the land use in the geographical area is currently infested b the weed?	у	0.0	0% of area	Q1
Q2. What is the number of infestations, and weed distribution within the geographic area being considered?		0.0	not present	Q2
Tota	ıl	0.0		
Comparative feasibility of coordinated control scor	е	0		
Feasibility of coordinated control categor	V	Very High		
i casionity of coordinated control categor	7	461 y THIGH		

Management priority category Calculation of overall uncertainty score Response	Monitor & Protect priority sites 0% Submit Assessment
Positive Impacts	
References Industry & Investment NSW - Primary Industries Australian Government Weeds in Australia Victorian Department of Primary Industries National Weeds Strategy	
Other Comments	

Source and comments Parsons, W. T. & Cuthbertson, E.G. 2001, Noxious Weeds of Australia, (2nd edition) CSIRO Publishing (p. 400) Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., pp. 400 - 402 Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., p. 401 Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., p. 401

WRA golden Dodder.xls Page 5 of 8

Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., p. 402
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., pp. 400 - 403
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW

WRA golden Dodder.xls Page 6 of 8

Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., pp. 400 - 403
1 αισοίιο, ομ. οι, μμ. 400 - 400
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., pp. 400 - 403
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., pp. 400 - 403
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW
Parsons, op. cit., pp. 400 - 403
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW
Parsons, op. cit., p. 401
Parsons, op. cit., p. 401
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., p. 401
Γαισύτιο, υμ. σιτ., μ. 40 τ
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., p. 401
Falsons, op. Git., p. 401
Primefact 731, March 2010, Industry & Investment NSW Parsons, op. cit., p. 401
r meaner, after any factor (

WRA golden Dodder.xls Page 7 of 8